

COCN-2048-2022

Date of Decision :17.11.2022

Akshay Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Sudhanshu S. Srivastava

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. D.S. Sobti, Advocate and
Ms. Jasleen Chahal, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/7, dated 08.06.2022 in CRWP-5592-2022.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the needful having been in terms of order Annexure P/7 and personal security officer having been provided to the petitioner till 31.03.2023, besides permission granted to convert his private Fortuner vehicle into a bullet proof vehicle, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to challenge order Annexure R/2, by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law to the extent that the same is not based on correct receipt of inputs from the Security Agencies at Amritsar.
3. In view of the position noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.
4. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

17.11.2022

rajesh