

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(131)

CWP-21716-2022

Date of Decision : September 21, 2022

Dharamvir Chopra

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mukesh Rao, Advocate, with
Mr. Shubham Aneja, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was facing three charge-sheets at the time of his retirement and then he was again charge sheeted four times after the retirement. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that out of total seven charge-sheets, two charge-sheets have already been dropped by the respondents and in the two charge-sheets, the petitioner has already been held guilty. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that with regard to the other charge-sheets, though the petitioner has already filed the reply, nothing has come on record whether, the respondents intends to pursue the said charge-sheets or not as the petitioner has also raised the question of jurisdiction in respect of the charge-sheets which have been served upon him after the retirement.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that pendency of the charge-sheets is causing prejudice to the petitioner as certain pensionary benefits of the petitioner have been withheld by the respondents due to the pendency of the said charge-sheets.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in respect of the charge -sheets which are pending against the petitioner, the same will be taken within a period of two months from the receipt of copy of this order by taking into consideration the reply filed by the petitioner including the question of jurisdiction to conduct the proceedings in respect of the charge-sheets which have been issued after his retirement.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further, at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

September 21, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No