

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

CRM-M-44620-2022
Date of Decision: 29.09.2022

Jagdish Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Bansal, D.A.G, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to cancel/set aside rapat No. 90 dated 21.11.2007 vide which the share of the petitioner was attached by the revenue authorities despite being there is no specific order of attachment by any competent Court of Law.

Notice served upon the official respondents through the State's counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case directions be issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the representation dated 08.08.2022 (Annexure P-10) within the time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed by the learned State counsel.

Given above, respondent No. 2-Deputy Commissioner, Fatehabad to decide the representation (Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order within ten working days from today.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, he shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

The decision so made be communicated to the representationist at the earliest by investigator.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

29.09.2022/Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No