

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(205)

CRM-M-47657-2021

Date of decision: - 07.04.2022

Harbans Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Manmeet Singh Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.126 dated 05.08.2016, registered under Sections 279, 337, 338 and 304 IPC, at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala.

On 15.11.2021, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 126 dated 05.08.2016, under Sections 279, 337, 338 and 304 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was driving a truck and two persons are stated to have lost their lives in the accident. The petitioner had been granted regular bail by the trial Court, but he could not appear on one date and, consequently, his bail was cancelled. The petitioner is a professional driver and sole

bread winner of his family. He undertakes that the petitioner shall henceforth appear before the Court on each and every date of hearing and would not absent himself except with prior permission of the Court

Issue notice to the respondent.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Senior DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

List on 13.12.2021.

In the meantime, the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court and on his doing so, he shall be released on ad interim bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)

15.11.2021

JUDGE”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the above-said order, the petitioner has surrendered and furnished bail bonds & surety bonds, which were accepted and attested by the Additional Sessions Judge, Kapurthala.

Keeping in view the above, the present petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed and the interim order dated 15.11.2021 is made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application

April 07, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No