

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-22304-2022

Date of decision : 13.12.2022

Udam Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRAPresent: Mr. Robin Sathi, Advocate
for petitioner.Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for respondents.HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Against the order dated 12.2.2015 (wrongly mentioned as dated 12.2.2018) (Annexure P-3) of the Estate Officer, HUDA, Panchkula whereby the petitioner was declared ineligible for allotment of plot in the oustee quota, the petitioner has preferred an appeal (Annexure P-4) on 9.3.2015 before respondent No. 1-Chief Administrator, HSVP, Panchkula. The grievance of the petitioner is that said appeal has not been decided.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, learned counsel for respondents on instructions states that no such appeal is traceable in the records.

In view thereof, this petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to prefer an appeal against order dated 12.2.2015 (wrongly mentioned as dated 12.2.2018) (Annexure P-3) of Estate Officer, HUDA, Panchkula before the Chief Administrator, HSVP, Panchkula within a period of 30 days from today.

If such an appeal is filed within aforesaid period, Chief Administrator, HSVP, Panchkula would consider the same in accordance with law without going into the question of limitation. The Chief Administrator is requested to dispose of the appeal within a period of 3 months of appeal being filed.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

13.12.2022
sjks

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No