

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

**CRWP-10729-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.03.2022**

RANJIT KAUR AND ORS

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present : Mr. D.S. Sobti, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J. (ORAL)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Instant petition had been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of *mandamus* for directing the official respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioners, which was alleged to be in danger at the hands of private respondent Nos.4 to 25.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG Punjab on instructions from ASI Pargat Singh submits that two permanent gunman have already been assigned to ensure protection of life, liberty and property of the petitioners and SIT has already been constituted to undertake fair and impartial investigation into the case. The reply in the instant case narrating the said facts had been filed in September 2021. There has been no counter to the said reply.

In view of the above statement so made by the learned State counsel and the fact that investigation has already been handed over to SIT, no further

directions are required to be issued in the instant case. The same is accordingly dismissed as not pressed.

It is, however, pointed out that in case the statement of the learned State counsel is found to be incorrect, the petitioner shall be at liberty to file an appropriate application to revive the instant petition.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

March 23, 2022
S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No