

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

252

CWP-23425-2021
Date of Decision: 29.09.2022

JASPREET SINGH NARANG

... Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Aseem Aggarwal, Sr. Standing Counsel
for the respondents No.1 and 2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition had been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ for quashing of the impugned notification (Annexure P-1) disqualifying the petitioner to act as Director.

Learned counsel for the respondents No.1 and 2 contends that the Direct Identification Number (DIN) of the petitioner has already been activated and as such no cause of action survives in the present the petition and hence the same has become infructuous.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner today.

However, taking into consideration the specific statement made at Bar today in Court by the learned counsel for the respondents No.1 and 2, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present petition in case the above statement made by counsel for the respondents No.1 and 2 is found to be incorrect and any cause of action still survives.

29.09.2022

rajender

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No