

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-553-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.11.2022

Dharam Pal

...Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana & ors

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate,
For the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing office order/letter dated 01.05.2017 (Annexure P-5), vide which claim of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Assistant has been rejected.

In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner has handed over Instructions dated 22.12.2020 issued by the Office of Chief Secretary addressed to all the Administrative Secretaries as well as Heads of Department, which in turn are pursuant to Court order dated 24.09.2020 passed by this Court in CWP No.11842-2020. Same is taken on record and marked as Annexure 'A'. He strenuously argues that case of the petitioner is squarely covered thereunder and, therefore, the writ petition ought to be allowed.

Confronted, learned State counsel submits that since the issuance of the aforesaid Instructions is a development post filing of the writ petition, competent authority shall look into the matter afresh and pass administrative order afresh.

In the premise, it is rather more appropriate that the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to look into the matter in the light of Instructions *ibid* and also go through the order/judgment referred therein and pass appropriate administrative orders within a period of three months. It is so ordered. In case a favorable order is passed, benefit be accorded to the petitioner within a period of two months therefrom.

Disposed of accordingly.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

November 24, 2022
vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No