

211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-43350-2020

Date of decision-19.01.2022

Inderjit Singh Mankoo

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. APS Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.182 dated 07.10.2020 under Sections 420 and 120-B Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Sadar Pathankot, District Pathankot. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 22.12.2020, whereby while issuing notice of motion, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel contends that as per the allegations, the accused Sukhwinder Singh and the petitioner failed to send the complainant and another person on work visa and the said transaction took place in the year 2018. Learned counsel has pointed out that only a sum of Rs.20,000/- was paid to the petitioner and he is ready to return the said amount and is further willing to settle the dispute.

Notice of motion for 22.03.2021.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on

his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Naresh Kumar does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. He further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 22.12.2020 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

19.01.2022

vanita	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No