

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-21557-2022

Date of Decision: 19.09.2022

Rajiv Kaushik

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Anna Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

It is submitted that the writ petition may be treated as an appeal under Section 208-A(3) and Section 209 of the Haryana Municipal Act, 1973 and the Deputy Commissioner concerned may be directed to decide the same within a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf respondent No. 1 and waives service. At the asking of the Court, she accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 2 as well.

In view of the submission made, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Deputy Commissioner to treat the writ petition as an appeal under the provisions aforementioned and decide the same by passing a speaking order within four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Till then the impugned orders shall be kept in abeyance.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

19.09.2022

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No