

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

228

CWP-5228-2018

Date of Decision :29.08.2022

Brish Bhan and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary
and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. P.K. Rohila, Advocate for Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that they are entitled for selection grade of Rs.7500-12000 w.e.f. 01.01.1996 instead of 01.08.2000 keeping in view the fact that the petitioners were within 20% of the cadre posts, which were entitled for selection grade.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that after notice of motion, the respondents have not filed reply till now and as the petitioners have already raised the said grievance with the respondents by way of serving a legal notice dated 21.12.2017 (Annexure P-6), the petitioners will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation.

Learned State counsel raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 21.12.2017 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner by passing

an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners in their legal notice, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 21.12.2017 (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking order within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case after the decision, it is found that petitioners are entitled for any relief, the same will be extended to them within a period of 04 weeks thereafter.

Present writ petition stands disposed off.

August 29, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No