

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-9105-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.11.2022**

Nisha and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Nain, DAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

Reply by way of affidavit dated 22.11.2022 of the Additional Superintendent of Police, Jind, submitted by the learned State counsel in the Court, is taken on record.

On 28.09.2022, it was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that on 24.04.2021, marriage of petitioner No.1 was solemnized with respondent No.6 forcefully; that however at the time of marriage, petitioner No.1 was having love affair with petitioner No.2; that now, the petitioners are living together in a live-in-relationship, and that petitioner No.1 is in the process of filing a divorce petition against respondent No.6.

Learned State counsel points out that statements of respondent No.4-Neeraj, Raajjo-respondent No.5, brother and mother of petitioner No.1 respectively, and Anit @ Anil-respondent No.6, husband of petitioner No.1, were recorded separately on 19.11.2022 and 18.11.2022, wherein they have stated that they have no objection, if Nisha-petitioner No.1 is residing with Nitish-petitioner No.2. Respondent No.4 has also stated that petitioner No. 1 has not visited their village Salwan from the date on which respondent No.6 got registered an FIR and he has no knowledge where Nisha is residing.

I have heard the learned State counsel and have also gone through the documents on record.

Since the private respondent Nos. 4 to 6 in their respective statements have specifically stated that they have no objection, if petitioners are residing together, no indulgence of this Court is required in the present case.

Dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

23.11.2022

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No