

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(101)

CRM No. M-47488-2021

Date of Decision : 04.02.2022

Raju Rajbhar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
(keeping in view advance copy given)

Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate for the complainant.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners states he does not wish to press this petition any further as the petitioners intend to join the investigation and cooperate for the reason that according to the petitioners, they have done nothing wrong and have explanation for all the allegations alleged.

As prayed for, present petition is disposed of having been not pressed.

February 04, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No