

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

230

CWP-510-2018

Date of decision : 27.04.2022.

Rajender Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Kumar Moudgil, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as the petitioner has been promoted as Professor but consequential benefits have not been granted to him. He also submits that the petitioner shall be filing a representation before respondent No.2 for the subsisting relief including the date of promotion and the petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the same in a time bound manner.

Heard.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 that in the event of the petitioner filing a representation for his subsisting claim, the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with law within a period of four months of its receipt.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

April 27, 2022

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No