

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-40933-2022 in/and
CRM-M-43466-2022
Date of decision: 03.11.2022**

Narinder Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Petitioner in person.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-40933-2022

This is an application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for recalling the order dated 12.10.2022 (Annexure A-1) passed by this Court, whereby the main petition was dismissed for non-prosecution.

The applicant submits that he being a simple graduate, does not conversant with the intricacies of law and, therefore, could not appear before the Court on the date fixed i.e. 12.10.2022. His absence before this Court, on the date fixed, was not intentional.

The application is duly supported with an affidavit of the applicant.

Heard.

In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. The order dated 12.10.2022 is recalled. The main petition is taken on the Board for hearing.

CRM-M-43466-2022

The present petition has been filed for issuance of re-direction

to the official respondents No.2 to 4 to register an FIR against the private respondents under Sections 195, 211, 500, 504, 506 and 120-B IPC.

The petitioner submits that respondent No.4, while deciding the representation filed by him (petitioner), in compliance of the order dated 10.05.2022 passed in CRM-M-19243-2022, asked him to await the decision of a civil suit filed by him qua the same subject matter.

I have heard the petitioner at length.

Vide order dated 10.05.2022 passed in CRM-M-19243-2022, this Court directed respondent No.4-Station House Officer, Police Station Division-B, Sultanwind Gate, Amritsar, to decide the representation moved by the petitioner, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the order, in accordance with law. In compliance thereof, respondent No.4 had decided/filed the representation by noticing that a suit filed by the petitioner qua the same subject matter, is pending adjudication before the Civil Court.

Keeping in view the fact that the order dated 10.05.2022 passed by this Court, has already been complied with, no indulgence is called for.

Disposed of.

However, in case the petitioner is aggrieved of any of the actions of the private respondents, he would be at liberty to move a complaint before the appropriate forum.

03.11.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No