

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(211)

CWP No. 7677 of 2017

Date of Decision : 10.11.2022

Anup Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K. Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as another employee, who was also accused of the same allegation, has been let out, which fact has not been considered by the authorities concerned while imposing the punishment or while deciding his appeal, the petitioner intends to approach the same authorities for re-consideration of the impugned order and, therefore, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

November 10, 2022

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No