

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10666-2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 18.02.2022

Jagmeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. S.K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and to take action against the private respondents.

Vide order dated 08.02.2021 passed by Coordinate Bench, the names of respondents No. 8 to 10 were deleted as no substantive relief was claimed against them.

Learned State counsel draws the attention of this Court towards the reply filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4 by way of affidavit dated 04.02.2021, wherein it is specifically mentioned that on the representations

moved by the petitioner and respondent No. 7 a SIT was constituted and upon inquiry, it was reveled that no offence was made out against the petitioner and the matter pertained to the partition of the properties between the petitioner and respondent No. 7 regarding which the petitioner had also filed a Civil Suit and the petitioner had filed this petition to pressurise the police in anticipation not to take any action on the representation of respondent No. 7. However, there is no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner from anybody.

As pointed out by the learned State counsel that there is no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members at the hands of the private respondents, no further orders are called for in the petition.

Disposed of.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

18.02.2022
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No