

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 204 (1)

CRM-M No.47218 of 2021

Date of decision : 21.02.2022

Vijay P.C.O. Wala @ Vijay Kumar Bajaj

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Damanjeet Bhoriwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.87 dated 12.10.2021 registered under Section 306 IPC at Police Station City-2, Abohar, district Fazilka.

On 11.11.2021, this Court had passed the following order : -

“The matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; the petitioner has no criminal antecedents; the petitioner had loaned money to the deceased which he was legally seeking the repayment of; there was no harassment by the petitioner; the genuineness of the alleged suicide notes is still in question; even if the case of the prosecution is taken as the gospel truth, though vehemently denied, no case of abetment under Section 107 IPC is made out against the petitioner and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join investigation as

and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 21.02.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.87, dated 12.10.2021, registered under Section 306 IPC at Police Station City-2, Abohar, District Fazilka, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.

Before the adjourned date, learned State counsel shall file a concise status report.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the above, the interim order of this Court dated 11.11.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

21.02.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No