

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-47358-2021  
Decided on :14.07.2022

Surinderpal Singh @ Chhinda @ Chhindu

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

PRESENT: Mr. J. S. Dhaliwal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)**

This is a petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 170 dated 08.10.2021 registered under Sections 15 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa.

On 11.11.2021, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Counsel for the petitioner herein prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, while contending that he has been nominated as an accused on the basis of disclosure statement suffered by one Diyal Singh alias Diyali from whom there is an alleged recovery of 1.5 kg poppy husk, which is not commercial quantity. It is submitted that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation and cooperate.*

*Notice of motion for 06.05.2022.*

*At this stage, Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG Punjab, who is appearing through the medium of video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.*

*Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within a period of one week and on his doing so, in the event of arrest the petitioner be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. ”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Pardeep Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in the order dated 11.11.2021 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 11.11.2021 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

**(VIKAS BAHL)**  
**JUDGE**

**July 14<sup>th</sup>,2022**

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No