

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH
108**

**CM-3461-CWP-2022 &
CWP-4707-2018**

Date of decision: 14.03.2022

BALWAN SINGH AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

...RESPONDENTS

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL***

**Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J.(ORAL)

CM-3461-CWP-2022

Reply filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 5 is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioners states that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous in the light of the reply which has been filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 5.

Notice of the application.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and acknowledges the fact that the reply renders the writ petition infructuous. The land in question does not fall in the area of the Municipal Corporation and is re-vested in the Gram Panchayat.

In the light of the above, at the joint request made by the

learned counsel for the parties, hearing of the writ petition is preponed and the same is taken on Board for consideration. The present application for withdrawal of the main case is allowed, as prayed for.

CWP-4707-2018 (O&M)

The writ petition is dismissed as infructuous as per the statement of the counsel for the parties.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

**March 14, 2022
pj**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No