

**Geeta Devi**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**State of Haryana**

**.....Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,  
or the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

**\*\*\*\*\***

**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'pre-arrest bail' upon FIR No. 341 having been registered at Police Station Kharkhoda, District Sonapat, on 28.04.2021, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 409/420/120-B of the IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced in court today, an application dated 21.04.2022, addressed by the BDPO, Kharkhoda, to the ASPIO, Gram Panchayat, Pipli, seeking certain information under the Right to Information Act, 2005, with learned counsel submitting that the said information is with regard to the record in question as the petitioner is not producing before the investigating officer and with that record actually available with the office of the BDPO himself.

The allegation against the petitioner is that she and her co-accused embezzled funds to the tune of about Rs. 34,00,000/- with time having been sought again and again to produce the record and now with the

stand being taken that it is available in the office of the BDPO himself but with the information sought being by the BDPO, which is ununderstandbale.

Be that as it may, looking at the allegations and with a similar petition already having been dismissed earlier, in the circumstances, I see absolutely no ground to continue with the petitioner further, which is dismissed and the interim order dated 11.11.2021 vacated.

**May 13, 2022**  
nitin

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes  
No.