

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CWP-7312-2017

Date of Decision :20.07.2022

Ram Chander

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasdev Singh Thind, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arjun Dosanjh, Advocate for

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Advocate for respondents No.3 to 5.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the question of law raised in the present writ petition, has already been answered by this Court while passing order in CWP-6229-2018 titled as **Baldev Singh Saini vs. Haryana State Federation of Consumers' Co-operative Wholesale Stores Limited** on 05.12.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said petition was also against the same respondents, wherein, it has been held that no charge sheet can be served upon an employee after his/her retirement as there is no rule, which gives the jurisdiction to the respondents to initiate disciplinary proceedings after retirement.

Learned counsel for the respondents has not been able to rebut that the decision in **Baldev Singh Saini's case (supra)** covers the case of the petitioner in his favour.

Keeping in view the above, present petition is also disposed off in terms of the order passed by this Court in **Baldev Singh Saini's case (Supra)**.

July 20, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No