

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42986-2022

Date of decision : 10.10.2022

Jagdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.80 dated 04.06.2022 registered under Sections 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Dhanaula, District Barnala.

On 19.09.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner is not a signatory to any of the agreements as mentioned in the FIR and is neither the owner nor the purchaser. It is further submitted that the present dispute is primarily a civil dispute in nature and the petitioner has been implicated on account of being the son of Balwinder Singh. It is contended that the complainant party is involved in a large number of cases which have been detailed in para 5 of the petition. It is further contended that the father of the petitioner namely, Balwinder Singh, has instituted a suit for specific performance against Baldev Singh and Sukhwinder Kaur for

getting the registry done and even the cheques had not been given by the present petitioner.

Notice of motion for 10.10.2022..

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order dated 19.09.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Avtar Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 19.09.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 19.09.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

10.10.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No