

CRM-M-43135-2022

Date of decision:19.09.2022

DILKARAN SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Paramjit Singh Paul, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to conclude the investigation of the case bearing FIR No.386 dated 17.09.2013, under Sections 365/306 IPC, 1860 and Section 14 of Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986, registered at Police Station Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that at the earlier instance, petitioner had instituted a petition bearing CRM-M-23762-2016 which has been disposed of in terms of following order dated 15.07.2016:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioner as well as his father were arrayed as accused in FIR No.386 dated 17.09.2013 registered under Section 365 and 306 IPC and Section 14 of the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986, in Police Station Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar, Punjab and thereafter offence under Sections 302 and 376 IPC were added. Thereafter, the investigation was concluded and final report in the shape of cancellation report was presented on 06.01.2014 but the same was not accepted by the learned Illaqa Magistrate, vide order dated 11.02.2015. Moreover, further investigation has not been completed till date.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. A.P.S. Gill, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Taking into consideration the matter, the present petition is disposed of by giving direction to respondent No.2 to conclude

the further investigation, within a period of three months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.”

Through the present petition, the prayer has been made only for issuance of directions to the respondents to conclude the investigation and such directions have already been issued in the order aforesaid.

The petitioner only wants to replicate the aforesaid order. In the event, the compliance of order has not been done by the concerned authorities, the remedy with the petitioner is not to present another petition seeking same relief.

No action is called for.

Disposed of accordingly.

19.09.2022
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No