

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(248)

CWP No. 22004 of 2022

Date of Decision : 16.11.2022

Mamta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the claim of the petitioner in the present petition is that there was no smudging of the OMR sheet and only one question i.e. Q.41 has been marked in a manner that two options were marked whereas, now keeping in view the original OMR sheet shown in the Court, there is a smudging of the said question, hence the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No. 22918 of 2013 titled as ***Anshu and others Vs. State of Haryana and another***, decided on 21.12.2016.

Ordered accordingly.

November 16, 2022

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No