

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

CRWP-9087-2022

Date of Decision: September 20, 2022

SONIA MALIK AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 and 5, as they have attained majority and married against the wishes of their family members.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners will be satisfied if Superintendent of Police, District Hisar, Haryana i.e. respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation dated 14.9.2022 (Annexure P-4), made to him by the petitioners in this regard and take appropriate action on the same.

As per averments made in the petition, both the petitioners are major. Dates of birth of both the petitioners are 20.08.2004 and 10.10.1993, respectively. Copies of Aadhar cards of both the petitioners are appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2, respectively. They got married on 15.9.2022 as per Marriage Certificate, Annexure P-3.

CRWP-9087-2022

:2:

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Rahul Mohan, DAG, Haryana.
accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

In view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Hisar, Haryana to look into the representation dated 14.9.2022 (Annexure P-4) and decide the same expeditiously, in accordance with law.

September 20, 2022
rimpal

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No