

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 8976 of 2022

Date of Decision: 16th September, 2022

Malika and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Digvijay, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition is filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents (arrayed in the petition), who have solemnised marriage against the wishes of their parents.

Learned counsel for the State appearing on advance notice submits that on receiving the representation, efforts were made to contact the petitioners, the phone number mentioned in the petition is of petitioner No. 2. She further submits that they were neither physically available nor approached the police authorities.

In view of the statement of counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition and seeks liberty that the petitioners will approach the police authorities.

Dismissed as not pressed.

There is no doubt that in eventuality of petitioners approaching the police authorities, the needful in accordance with law would be done expeditiously.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

16th September, 2022

mk

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No