

Sr.No.163

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-21357-2022

Date of decision:16.09.2022

Nisha Arya

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Harsimran Singh Sethi

Present: Ms. Awaljot Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Singh Sangram, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that she was fully eligible for appointment to the post of PGT Chemistry, which post was advertised vide No.4 of 2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner cleared the written examination and was called for scrutiny and though, the petitioner submitted an experience certificate for the grant of relevant marks but the said certificate was not taken into consideration and after the interview, the petitioner has been given total of 126 marks whereas the last selected candidate has obtained 128 marks. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in case the petitioner would have been given 4 marks for the experience, she would have made the grade for selection keeping in view the number of posts advertised. Hence,

prayer of the petitioner is that the respondent Commission be directed to re-evaluate the merit of the petitioner by giving the petitioner the benefit of experience certificate submitted by her.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Dr.Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State of Haryana.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that though the present petition has been filed but the grievance as raised in the present petition has not been raised before the Commission as of now and in case the petitioner raises the said grievance before the Commission, appropriate order will be passed by the Commission within a period of four weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits keeping in view the statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition made kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate representation before the respondent Commission raising the grievance as raised in the present petition.

Ordered accordingly.

16th September, 2022

Shivani Kaushik

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No

[HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI]

JUDGE