

**128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9021-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19th September, 2022

Reshmi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Mohd. Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition is filed seeking directions to the official respondents No. 2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents No. 5 to 10 (arrayed in the petition).

Learned State Counsel appearing on advance notice on instructions submits that on receiving the representation, efforts were made to contact the petitioner, the phone number mentioned in the petition is switched off and she is not residing at the given address.

In view of the statement of learned State Counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner does not wish to press the petition and seeks liberty that the petitioner will approach the office of Superintendent of Police concerned.

Learned State Counsel submits that in case the petitioners approach the authority, steps as warranted in accordance with law would be taken.

In view of submission of learned counsel for the petitioner and State, the petition is dismissed as not pressed.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

19th September, 2022

anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No