

218.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6961-2017

Date of Decision: 03.08.2022

SHAGANDEEP KAUR

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. H.S. Saini, Advocate, for
Mr. Amit Mehta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Senior DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to issue appointment letter to the petitioner and depute her for training for the post of Sub Inspector in Punjab Police District Cadre.

In brief, the facts are that the petitioner applied under advertisement issued by the Punjab Police on 01.09.2016 inviting applications to fill up 225 posts of female Sub Inspector. The petitioner herein, who had the necessary qualification to apply, filled in her online application form and was then issued an admit card. The petitioner in fact applied for the post of Sub Inspector under the category of wards of police personnel which had five vacancies. The petitioner, having the necessary marks, was given a provisional appointment as a probationer and was allotted

Faridkot District. She underwent the medical examination and thereafter, approached the DIG Range, Ferozepur with an intention to join, however, she was not allowed to do so. The petitioner also then moved a representation seeking permission to join, however, she was not given joining.

Learned counsel for the petitioner assails the action of the respondents not allowing the petitioner to join despite having the necessary qualifications and having been given a provisional appointment.

Pursuant to the notice of motion having been issued, reply has been filed. Mr. Pawan Sharda, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents-State would submit that the petitioner had applied under the category of wards of police personnel, however, she cannot be considered under the said category as her father was not a member of the Punjab Police but was a member of Central Reserve Police Force. It is submitted that the petitioner had wrongly filled up her category as a ward of police personnel, whereas para 2 of the Standing Order No.6 of 2016 is applicable to Punjab Police and the petitioner herein would not qualify under the said Standing Order.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned State counsel.

In view of the categorical stand taken that the petitioner does not qualify to be considered as a ward of police personnel, she would not be entitled to seek appointment under the same. Consequently, the instant petition stands dismissed.

However, in case the petitioner moves a representation to be considered in the General Category and in case there is a vacancy still in

existence, the same may be considered, in accordance with law, within a period of three months thereafter.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

03.08.2022

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No