

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(134) CWP-21086-2022
Date of Decision : September 15, 2022**

Rajpal .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another .. Respondents

(135) CWP-21087-2022

Yagya .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and otherss .. Respondents

(138) CWP-21095-2022

Rajiv .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana .. Respondent

(150)

CWP-21136-2022

Ritu @ Ritu Malik

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

(151)

CWP-21138-2022

Shakuntla Devi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

(152)

CWP-21140-2022

Priti Lohan

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

(154)

CWP-21142-2022

Salma

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

(156)

CWP-21144-2022

Om Parkash

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

(160)

CWP-21148-2022

Om Prabha and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

(161)

CWP-21149-2022

Sunita Rani and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Tejpal Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP Nos.21086, 21144 and 21149 of 2022.

Mr. Tapish Kumar Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP No.21087-2022.

Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP No.21095 of 2022.

Mr. Sandeep Goyat Advocate, for the petitioner(s)
in CWP Nos. 21136, 21138, 21140 and 21142 of 2022.

Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP No.21148 of 2022.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

By this common order, ten writ petitions, the details of which
have been given in the heading of the order, are being disposed of as all the
petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the transfers

which have been effected concerning the petitioner(s) are contrary to the transfer policy on three accounts.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that firstly, the petitioner(s) have been transferred outside their cadre, which is contrary to the rules governing the service as well as the fact the petitioner(s) had given the option of the stations which stations have been kept vacant though the same were opened for option and have not been withdrawn at any given point of time. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that lastly the stations which are nearby to the present place of posting of the petitioner(s) are still vacant where they could have been accommodated, hence, the orders of the transfer are liable to be reviewed by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the petitioner(s) have already raised the said grievance by filing representations, copies of which have been attached with the petitions and the petitioner(s) will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representations.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the representations of the petitioner(s), if already filed or will be submitted by the petitioner(s) upto Monday i.e. 19.09.2022, will be considered and decided within a period of 10 days. Till then, the petitioner(s) will not be relieved from the present place of posting or in case relieved, will not be forced to join the new place of posting till the decision of the said representations.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.

September 15, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No