

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(159)

CWP-21147-2022

Date of Decision : September 15, 2022

Sunita

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been transferred 300 Kms away by the impugned order of the transfer whereas, the Stations for which the petitioner has opted for, are still lying vacant. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has a minor daughter aged 15 years to take care of and the transfer will disturb the family circumstances of the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, the petitioner has any grievance qua the transfer, the petitioner is at liberty to file appropriate representation with the competent authority upto Monday

i.e. 19.09.2022 and in case any such representation is filed, the same will be decided within a period of 10 days. Till then, the petitioner will not be relieved from the present place of posting or in case relieved, will not be forced to join the new place of posting till the decision of the said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

September 15, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No