

**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9014-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19th September, 2022

Shayama Devi and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. A.S. Gulati, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition is filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents (arrayed in the petition), who have solemnised marriage against the wishes of their parents.

Learned State Counsel appearing on advance notice on instructions submits that on receiving the representation, efforts were made to contact the petitioners, the phone number mentioned in the petition are switched off and they are not residing at the given address.

In view of the statement of learned State Counsel, learned counsel for the petitioners does not wish to press the petition and submits that if there is any threat perception, they will approach police authorities.

Learned State Counsel submits that in case the petitioners approach the authorities, steps as warranted in accordance with law would be taken.

Dismissed as not pressed.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

19th September, 2022

anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No