

PARTAP SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. V.B.Godara, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Present petition is under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 109 dated 21.06.2021, registered under Sections 344/366/368/370(A)/370/376(2)(N)/376(2)(D)/506/34 IPC, 1860 at Police Station Bhattu Kalan, District Fatehabad.

Briefly, the case has been registered on the basis of the statement of prosecutrix alleging that she is a native of Orissa and came to Adampur, District Hisar where she met Suraj, Chandni, Anil and Pooja. They solemnized her marriage with an aged man who gave her Rs. 40,000/- in cash after 10 days. The old man had been indulging in bad activities with the prosecutrix for a period of 20 days. Subsequently, the prosecutrix was left with Sunil who performed marriage with her. Sunil kept the prosecutrix for a period of 1 ½ months with him at Raslana (Rajasthan) and had been indulging in bad activities against her consent. Sunil had also been giving beatings and threatening to kill her in the event she tried to run away. One day, finding an opportune time she sent the photo of Aadhar Card of Sunil to his brother on Whats App who informed the police.

Thereafter, Sunil took the prosecutrix to the Court premises at Hisar and

performed marriage which has been denied by the prosecutrix.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the name of the petitioner has not been mentioned in the FIR and has been falsely implicated.

On a contrary, the learned State counsel has pointed out that during the course of investigation, the name of the old person with whom the marriage of the prosecutrix was solemnized revealed as Hans Raj. The name of the petitioner has emerged during the course of interrogation of Hans Raj. It has emerged during investigation, that the petitioner had intervened and facilitated the deal for trafficking of the prosecutrix with Hans Raj and the same was settled at Rs. 2,00,000/-. The disclosure statement of Hans Raj is indicative of active involvement of the petitioner for monetary gains and actively indulging in the trafficking of the victim.

Although, it has been pointed out that the petitioner is also involved in five other criminal cases but significantly, he has been acquitted in two of the cases and has been convicted in three other cases which pertain to the Gambling Act. The disclosure statement of Hans Raj can be used for providing lead in the investigation of the case. The trafficking of women and throwing them into flesh trade are serious offences and such like offences cannot be viewed lightly. The matter requires thorough probe and investigation and no extra ordinary circumstances are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

08.03.2022
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No