

213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47687-2021
Date of Decision: 28.01.2022

Manjeet

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Rana Ghuman, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No 0171, dated 29.07.2021, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 324 and 506 of the IPC (Section 307 of the IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar Ratia, District Fatehabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that after the incident, the parties have already compromised their dispute. She further submits that the other co-accused, namely Jagtar Ram @ Jagtar Singh, has already been extended the concession of regular bail, and therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, the present petitioner may also be extended the concession of regular bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Pargat Singh, submits that the parties have compromised their dispute. He also concedes the factum that the other co-accused persons have already been extended the concession of regular bail. He further submits that the investigation is

complete and challan has already been submitted.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

Once the parties have entered into a compromise to live peacefully, coupled with the fact that the co-accused persons have already been extended the concession of regular bail by this Court, and the trial is likely to take some time before it concludes, keeping in view the restricted working of the Courts due to Covid-19 pandemic, no useful purpose will be achieved by keeping the petitioner behind the bars throughout the trial.

Keeping in view the above, the petitioner has made out the case for the grant of regular bail.

In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, it is directed that the petitioner be released on regular bail, subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that petitioner will not influence trial in any manner including influencing the witnesses and in case of default of the above undertaking, State will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

The present petition is disposed of.

28.01.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE