

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(126)

CWP-21248-2022

Date of Decision : September 16, 2022

Rekha

.. Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Sachmeet Singh Randhawa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner competed for appointment to the post of Mechanic Computer Hardware Instructor in pursuance to the advertisement No.12 dated 20.07.2019 vide which, 12 posts were advertised and the petitioner was competing in general category in which category 6 posts were available.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner cleared the written examination and was called for scrutiny of the documents and no infirmity was pointed out but at the time when the final result was declared, not only the petitioner was not selected, but no candidate has been found suitable except six posts in general category.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner argues that once 6 posts were advertised and no infirmity in the documents of the petitioner were found at the time of scrutiny, declaring the petitioner unsuitable is totally arbitrary and illegal. Learned senior counsel further argues that as

per his information, the experience certificate is being disputed by the respondents, which act of the respondents, at this stage, is totally arbitrary and illegal.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised a justice demand notice dated 05.09.2022 (Annexure P-16) raising the grievance where everything has already been explained and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.1 to decide the said demand notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection in deciding the demand notice of the petitioner in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the demand notice dated 05.09.2022 (Annexure P-16), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the demand notice dated 05.09.2022 (Annexure P-16) within a period of four weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

September 16, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No