

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CRM-M-43130-2022
Date of Decision: 19.09.2022

Vijay Kumar Gupta

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Notice served upon the official respondents through State's counsel. No notices are required to issue to the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied in case direction be issued to respondent No.2, to decide the representation dated 05.09.2022 (Annexure P-3) within the time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

Given above, respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, Faridabad, to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) either himself or by authorizing any officer not below the rank of IPS cadre within 15 days, who shall decide the same by passing a speaking and reasoned order ***within 30 working days from the receipt of representation***. The order to be communicated to the representationist without any delay.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, he shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

19.09.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No