

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CRM-M-36800 of 2019
Date of decision:16.05.2022

Pushwinder Kumar and another ... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Surinder Singh Siao, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Priyanshu Kamra, Advocate
for respondents No.8 and 9.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C read with Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to official respondents No.3 to 7 not to harass the petitioners by calling them to different police stations time and again, at the instance of respondents No.8 to 14.

Short reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rajpura, District Patiala has been filed on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5, which is taken on record. By making a reference to para 8 of the reply, State counsel submits that the petitioners are no more required as the complainant has requested that she does not want to take any action on the

complaint.

In view of the above, no order is required to be passed.

Petition is rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such.

However, in case anything still survives, liberty is granted to the petitioners to seek revival of the petition.

May 16, 2022
savita

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>