

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

102+202

CRM-M-36307-2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision: January, 06, 2022

RANJIT SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.R.K. Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Bhupinder Kumar, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

CRM-41708-2021

This application is for placing on record copy of compromise dated 25.02.2015 as Annexure R-1.

Application is allowed and copy of compromise dated 25.02.2015 is taken on record as Annexure R-1.

Main Case

On 02.09.2019, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had passed the following order:-

“Present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for seeking pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, namely Ranjit Singh in case FIR No. 40 dated 16.08.2019, registered under Section(s) 420 & 406 IPC at Police Station Pattara, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the matter

in controversy is purely civil in nature and more so, the alleged payment of Rs.12,33,500/-, due towards the petitioner, has no connection with the complainant, namely Kewal Singh as he has already relinquished his entire share and rights in the property in the year 2012 vide Annexure P2 and the rights were transferred in favour of his son, who is witness to the sale deed. Learned counsel further contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Pawan Sharda, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent. A copy of paperbook be provided to him during the course of the day.

List on 13.11.2019.

Meanwhile, petitioner(s) is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, petitioner(s) shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer. The petitioner(s) will join the investigation as and when called for and shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from Inspector Joginder Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant, however, contends that in view of the serious allegation against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 02.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January, 06, 2022
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No