

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-377-2018

Date of decision: 19.09.2022

NARESH KUMAR AND ANOTHER ...Petitioners

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. Karan Kalra, Advocate,
for Mr. Minderjeet Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

1. Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the candidature of the petitioners for the post of Station Supervisor in BCB category.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, on instructions, at the outset, submits that petitioner No.2 has already been appointed in alternative Government job during pendency of the writ proceedings. Therefore, proceedings before this Court are rendered infructuous *qua* petitioner No.2.
3. The petition stands disposed of as infructuous *qua* petitioner No.2 as per statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners.
4. Pursuant to an earlier order dated 11.07.2022 passed by this Court, result of the petitioners was produced and perusal of the same reveals that petitioner No.1 has secured an aggregate of 132 marks (124 marks in the written examination + 8 marks in viva-voce). The cut off marks for the BCB category is 142 marks.

5. Result of petitioner No.1, who has concededly scored lesser marks than the last selected candidate, is not under challenge in the instant petition. It seems that petitioner was under the wrong impression at the time of filing the instant petition that based on his performance, he would qualify. Being not so, no grounds are made out to interfere.

6. Dismissed qua petitioner No.1.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

September 19, 2022
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No