

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-46774-2021

Date of Decision: March 08, 2022

Joginder Singh and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana
Mr. Abhishek Sanghi, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 26.11.2021, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 26.11.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioners then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has no objection in case this petition is allowed.

5. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioners make a case for release on bail.

6. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 26.11.2021, is hereby made absolute.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

March 08, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: No