

201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42504-2020
Decided on: 14.01.2022

Harjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Assistant AG, Punjab.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions submits that neither the petitioner nor his family member who are residing with him, have any arms/weapons and any armed license.
2. On instructions from ASI Narinder Singh, learned State counsel opposed the bail by submitting that the petitioner has armed license which he had not surrendered.
3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said armed license stands expired and it has not been renewed by the petitioner. On instructions, counsel submits that the petitioner undertakes not to seek its renewal till pendency of the trial.
4. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that after the directions, the petitioner has not handed over the arms/weapons to the investigator and he has threat from him. Learned counsel for the complainant also submits that a video recording of the incident is in possession of photographer and due to cause of threat from petitioner he is not handing it over to the police.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner denied the arguments of the complainant.

6. In view of the above, the concerned SHO is directed to look into the matter and in case, the complainant feels any threat, he can file separate FIR.
7. Petition is allowed and the interim order dated 23.04.2021 is made absolute in the aforesaid terms.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

14.01.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No