

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

230

CRM-M-42727 of 2022
Date of Decision:21.09.2022

Kartik Bagan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Vinayak, AAG, Punjab

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.140 dated 16.05.2021, under Sections 323, 341, 307, 379-B and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.3, District Ludhiana.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody from 18.07.2022 and only role attributed to the petitioner was that he was recording the video and as per the prosecution also, he was not involved in the commission of offence, if any. He submitted that the other co-accused who had allegedly caused the injuries namely Vishal Jacob @ Vishal Gill, Rohit Hans, Akhil Sabharwal and Ajay Kumar @ Aju @ Ajay Sarohta have been granted bail by this Court. Learnd counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the present petitioner may be considered for the grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, Mr. Kunal Vinayak, learned AAG, Punjab has stated that it is correct that the petitioner is in custody from 18.07.2022 and the other co-accused who have allegedly caused injuries have been granted bail by this Court.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

As per the learned counsel for the parties, investigation of the case has been completed and the role attributed to the petitioner was that he was recording video of the alleged incident.

In view of the aforesaid factual position that the other co-accused have been granted bail by this Court, this Court deems it fit and proper case to grant bail to the petitioner. The present petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be released on regular bail subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is only meant for the purpose of decision of present petition.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

September 21, 2022

dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No