

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.225

Date of Decision : April 07, 2022

CWP No.3217 of 2018

Mohammad Inam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

2.

CWP No.19371 of 2018

Geeta Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

3.

CWP No.3325 of 2018

Pooja

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

4.

CWP No.5879 of 2018

Lakhmi Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Harkirat Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that these writ petitions have been rendered infructuous as the term of the Panchayat has come to an end.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

A copy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

April 07, 2022

Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No