

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

225

CRM-M-42449-2020

Date of Decision:06.01.2022

Mukesh @ Khairu

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Perusal of the file shows that in para 15 of the bail petition, the petitioner mentions that 04 FIRs are pending against the petitioner.

However, learned State counsel based on the reply, submits that 07 FIRs are pending against the petitioner.

Given above, the petitioner has not disclosed all the FIRs correctly and on this ground only this petition deserves to be dismissed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition with a liberty to file a fresh petition by mentioning all the details.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of as having been withdrawn with a liberty to file a fresh petition.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

06.01.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No