

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

129

**CRM-M No.42489 of 2022
Date of Decision: 15.09.2022**

Satnam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Vikas Bishnoi Godara, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner would surrender before the trial Court concerned on 20.09.2022 and would move an application there for seeking the relief of regular bail and he prays that the trial Court be directed to decide the bail application of the petitioner within some specific time frame.

Ms. Ambika Sood, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who has appeared in this case in pursuance of the copy of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, has no objection to the above-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn with a direction to the trial Court concerned that in case the petitioner surrenders before it on the afore-said date and moves any

application for seeking the above-mentioned relief, the same be decided, in accordance with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the case, within a period of three (03) days thereafter.

15.09.2022

neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No