

207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.48667 of 2021 (O&M)
Decided on: 14.03.2022

Pulkit Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Ishmeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.31 dated 13.02.2019 registered under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC and 66-D of the Information Technology Act, at Police Station City Rupnagar, District Rupnagar.

The operative part of the order dated 01.12.2021, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“....Counsel for the petitioner has argued that though the allegations are that the complainant has paid Rs.1.50 lacs for procuring the appointment, however, to show his bona fide, the petitioner has prepared a demand draft of Rs.1.50 lacs favouring the complainant – Gurkaranjeet Singh.

Notice of motion.... ”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 01.12.2021, the petitioner has appeared before the

Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Kaushal Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation. It is further submitted that the petitioner has also handed over a demand draft of Rs.1.50 lacs to the Investigating Officer, which has further been given to the complainant – Gurkaranjeet Singh.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 01.12.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

14.03.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No