

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.8938 of 2022

Date of Decision:-19.09.2022

Paramjeet Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Surjit Singh Salar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

NIDHI GUPTA J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuing direction to the official respondents to save the life, liberty and property of the petitioners and to register a criminal case against respondents No.4 to 11 under appropriate sections.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that there is a Mandir known as Mandir Baba Teekam Dass Thakurdwara at Village Rohta, Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala, having huge land mentioned in jamabandi for the year 2015-16 (Annexure P-1) showing the ownership of the said Mandir. He further contends that the private respondents are attempting to snatch the property of this Mandir in one manner or the other and had managed to get the property of the Mandir/Dera on 50 years lease in a very sham transaction. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the said lease has also been set aside by this Court. He further contends

that petitioner No.3-Dyala Das is husband of petitioner No.1-Parmjeet Kaur and is in endeavor to protect the property and also filed a civil suit (Annexure P-2) for permanent injunction in the name of the Mandir being Sewadar/Mahant restraining the respondents in the civil suit, which is pending before the Additional Civil Judge(Sr. Division) Nabha. He further contends that since the filing of the said civil suit, a campaign has been launched to harass the petitioners and their son so that they may leave the property in question and for that purpose, respondents No.4 to 11 had attempted to attack upon the family of petitioners many times. In order to grab the property and to convert into a residential colony, the private respondents had also lodged an FIR No.20 dated 12.3.2022 against the petitioners and when the petitioners were arrested, the private respondents broken the locks of the Dera and stolen almost all the materials from there. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in order to save themselves from the clutches of the private respondents and to save their property they have many times approached the official respondents. Learned counsel has referred to the representations submitted to the **SSP Patiala** on 08.4.2022, 09.4.2022 and 21.4.2022 annexed with the petition as Annexures P-3 to P-5. A representation dated 08.5.2022 (Annexure P-6) has also been made to the SHO Nabha. A detailed representation dated 19.5.2022 (Annexure P-9) had also been made to the DGP Patiala but no action has been taken so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied in case a direction be issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to decide the representations made to him

as mentioned above.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and while refraining from making any expression as regards veracity of the averments made in the petition, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into the representations dated 08.4.2022, 09.4.2022 and 21.4.2022 (Annexures P-3 to P-5) and disposed of the same in accordance with law.

A copy of this order alongwith representations dated 08.4.2022, 09.4.2022 and 21.4.2022 (Annexures P-3 to P-5) be sent to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

September 19, 2022
Vijay Asija

(**NIDHI GUPTA**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No