

CRM-M-46703 of 2021

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46703 of 2021
Date of Decision: 15.09.2022

Piara Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: - Mr. Inder Pal Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. M.K. Dhot, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for quashing FIR No.237 dated 21.09.2018 registered under Sections 324, 323, 295, 506, 148, 149, 188 IPC at Police Station Sadar District Tarn Taran, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Pursuant to the order dated 30.11.2021 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the parties appeared before the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran, to get their statements recorded. Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran, submitted his report along with statements of the parties vide letter No.1145 dated 14.12.2021 duly forwarded by the District and Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran, vide Endst. No.6718-R dated 17.12.2021.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No. 2 and gone through the

CRM-M-46703 of 2021

relevant record.

It is now well settled that the High Court has inherent power to quash the criminal proceedings in non-compoundable cases on the basis of settlement between the parties for securing the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process where the possibility of conviction is remote and bleak and continuation of the criminal case would put the accused to great oppression and prejudice and extreme injustice would be caused to him by not quashing the criminal case. Criminal cases having overwhelmingly and predominantly civil character particularly those arising out of commercial transaction or arising out of matrimonial relationship or family dispute can be quashed when the parties have resolved their entire dispute among themselves. However, such power cannot be exercised in those prosecutions which involve heinous and serious offences of mental depravity or offences like murder, rape, dacoity, etc. which are not private in nature and have a serious impact on society. Similarly, prosecution for offences alleged to have been committed under special enactments like the Prevention of Corruption Act or the offences committed by public servant while working in that capacity cannot be quashed on the basis of compromise between the victim and the offender. For judicial precedents in this regard, reference may be made to ***Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab (Supreme Court) : 2014 (2) RCR (Criminal) 482, State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Laxmi Narayan and others (Supreme Court) : 2019 (2) RCR (Criminal) 255*** and ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others***

CRM-M-46703 of 2021

(Punjab and Haryana High Court) : 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052.

According to the report, learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran, is satisfied that the compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Considering the report of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran dated 14.12.2021 and the fact that the compromise will bring peace and harmony between the parties, aforesaid FIR No.237 dated 21.09.2018 and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed, qua the petitioners only.

Disposed of, accordingly.

September 15, 2022
R.S.

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No