

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21745-2020

Date of decision: 23.08.2022

GAURAV

..Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate,
For the petitioner.

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Grievance of the petitioner is that transfer of the petitioner, which was effected in February-2020 has been cancelled after a period of 10 months.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned order has been passed without taking into consideration the Government Policy. He contends that petitioner is fully conscious that being a Government servant, he can be transferred but the same ought to have been done in accordance with the transfer policy.

3. Learned State counsel submits that impugned order is of 09.12.2020 and no stay was granted to the petitioner.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

5. Transfer being matter of administrative exigency, this Court generally refrains to interfere and treads cautiously, unless it is a case of extreme hardship. The case in hand does not seem to be such so as to deserve any indulgence. Moreover, to transfer an official or not to, is sole discretion of the employer based

on the administrative exigencies. Notdoing or doing so is not a punishment, but an integral part of serviceconditions.

6. In the premise, the impugned order dated 09.12.2020(Annexure P-3) does not call for anyinterference from this Court in exercise of its extraordinary writjurisdiction vested under Article 226 of Constitution of India.

7. Dismissed.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

August 23, 2022
vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No